

**From:** [ANDERSON Jim M](#)  
**To:** [Kristine Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)  
**Cc:** [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [STRUCK Rodney](#); [MCCLINCY Matt](#); [OMEALY Mikell](#); [JOHNSON Keith](#)  
**Subject:** FW: JCSC comments  
**Date:** 10/13/2005 11:17 AM

---

Kristine,  
We received comments on the draft JCSC from 8 parties. I understand you received the City of Portland's comments directly from Dawn Sanders. I want to transmit the remaining 7 sets of comments. There may be a better way to do this, but I don't know what that would be..., so I'll send you 7 separate e-mails with the 7 sets of comments.

Here are the Sierra Club's (PH CAG?) comments. 1st of 7 e-mails.

Let's talk next week about the comments.

James M. Anderson  
DEQ Northwest Region  
Portland Harbor Section  
Phone (503) 229-6825  
Fax (503) 229-6899

-----Original Message-----

From: Dorothy Shoemaker [mailto:[\(b\) \(6\)](#)]  
Sent: Monday, October 10, 2005 3:38 PM  
To: ANDERSON Jim M  
Cc: Dorothy Shoemaker  
Subject: JCSC comments

To Jim Anderson, DEQ  
RE: JCSC document

Dear Jim,  
Since today is the deadline for comments about the Joint Source Control Strategy, I'm submitting them by email.

I think the JCSC document is well thought-out, and I didn't notice any problems.

The Sierra Club has been working to stop the toxic mixing zone loophole, and the JCSC does that in the Portland Harbor. The JCSC requires that measuring be done "at the point of discharge to the river, ie. water at the end of a discharge pipe."

I'd recommend that the DEQ implement the JCSC as it is described in the Interim Final Draft.

Sincerely,  
Dorothy Shoemaker  
Issue coordinator for the Portland Harbor,  
Columbia Group of the Sierra Club